Bull. Fish. Res. Stn. Ceylon. Vol. 21, No. 2 pp. 113-122 December, 1970

Surface and Subsurface Fisheries for Young and Immature Yellowfin Tuna (T. albacares) Around Ceylon

K. Sivasubramaniam*

Introduction

THE anxiety caused by the production trends and the present status of the Yellowfin tuna stocks in the three Oceans, has directed attention on the feasibility of exploiting the young and immature Yellowfin tunas by surface fisheries and the potential of such surface and subsurface resources as against that of the deep swimming mature tunas which has been intensively exploited up to now.

In the Indian Ocean, there appears to be a concentration of young and immatureYellowfin tuna around the island of Ceylon and about four thousand metric tons of it is caught annually by Ceylon. However, it will be evident that, at present, no fishing effort is directed specifically on this variety. In these waters exploitation for the deep swimming older fish by longline is relatively insignificant and any impact of the surface and subsurface fishery on the deep swimming stocks is not clearly evident. Until about 1967 mechanised boats (3- ton class) directed their effort on bloodfish, mainly through trolling gear and to some extent through pole and line and longline gear. The effort through the last two methods was limited by live and dead bait shortage, manaul hauling and seasonal occurrence of large size tunas within the fishing range of this class of vessels.


Even though drift netting for blood fish was commenced by some mechanised boat fishermen from the "South" (operating in the "East"), N.West"", "N.N.West" and "N.N. East" as far back as 1965, their success was impaired by severe public objection to this method of fishing for the large pelagic fishes, limitation of the operation to the inshore waters and the smallness of the set (10-15 pieces per set). However, in 1967, 11-ton class of vessels were introduced and they took up to drift net fihing in the off-shore range round the island and by 1968, drift net fishing disputes died down and drift net fishing became popular even among the 3- ton class of vessels (Table I). Gradually the size of each set of nets also increased to 30-40 pieces and the with the confidence established by the 11-ton class, the 3- ton class of drift netters ventured into the offshore range. Thus resulting in a sharp increase in the production of surface and subsurface swimming Yellowfin and Skipjack tunas.

Source of Material and Statistical Analyses

For sampling and convenience of analysis, the coastline of Ceylon was divided into ten areas (Fig. 1). The boundaries between area were based on preliminary findings on the catch distribution pattern. Each area has an inshore and offshore range and as defined by the Author (1965) the inshore waters extends up to 15 miles from the beach and the offshore range is between 15 and 100 miles from the beach. The offshore range covered by the vessels, presently, is probably between 15 and 35 miles.

Details of the sampling techniques and statistical methods applied were as already described (Sivasubramanium 1970). The data on the distribution of fishing vessels of the 3- ton class and 11-ton class were obtained from the Statistical Division of the Department of Fisheries and the Ceylon

*Fisheries Research Station, P. O. Box 531, Colombo, Ceylon.



SIVASUBRAMANIAM

TABLE I

Percentage distribution of effort directed through various gears used for exploitation of bloodfish in Ceylon waters.

Area	Period	Troll	Driftnet	Longline	Pole & Line	Beach Seine		
······	1963-67	60,2	20,1	0	0	19,7		
N. West	196870	15,6	82,4	0	0	2.00		
West S. West	1963-67	68,4	11,3	20,3	0	0		
West	6870	16,2	76,6	8,2	0	/ ~		
S Wort	6367	70,3	2,1	6,1	21,5	0		
S. West	6870	35,0	45,9	10	9,1	0		
	6367	61,5	0,5	8,3	29,7	0		
South	68-70	24,4	70,6	0,5	4,5	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
	6367	40,5	48,0	10,5	6,7	0,3		
East N. East	6870	18,0	66,0	6	9,9	0,1		
	6367	13,0	83,0	4,0	0	0		
N.N. East	6870	5,0	95,0	0	0	0		
	6367	81,2	0	0	0	16,3		
N. N. West	68-70	11,0	87,0	0	0	1,1		

Fisheries Corporation, respectively. The distribution of fishing effort (number of fishing operations) was estimated by utilising the sampling for the fishing effort through different gears used for capturing bloodfish and the data on the distribution of the vessels. In these waters there is a mixed fishery for the bloodfish group and the fishing effort is seldom directed on any one species of this group, except in the case of pole and line fishery where the effort is directed on Skipjack schools. Hence the fishing effort in this instance is not the effective effort on Yellowfin tuna only but the effort on the bloodfish group.

As the fisheries statistics available for the 3- ton class of vessels shows production of the whole bloodfish group, the annual production of Yellowfin tuna was estimated by two methods. (1) Multiplying the catch per unit effort for each class of vessels and type of fishing gear, obtained by sampling, by the estimated effort for each of the above stratum and (2) Applying the percentage composition of the Yellowfin tuna for each year, on the bloodfish procuction for the corresponding years. The estimation by both methods were close to each other for the years 1965 to 1970 and hence the values estimated for the preceding years were rejected. In the case of the 11-ton class of vessels, the effort and Yellowfin catch statistics have been maintained accurately by the Ceylon Fisheries Corporation.

The catch per operation or per fishing trip not exceeding twenty four hours has been taken as the catch rate for each class of vessel operating a particular gear and these values have been taken to be directly proportional to the densities of distribution. In order to evaluate production trends and apparent abundance in relation to the fishing effort applied, the effort by the 3- ton and 11-ton class of vessels were standardised at the level of the 11-ton class, by estimating efficiency factors. The efficiency factors are ratios of yearly catch per fishing day or fishing trip of the 3- ton class operating a popular gear to that of the 11-ton class (Table 2).

116 YELLOWI

YELLOWFIN TUNA AROUND CEYLON

- -

\$

TABLE 2

Yearly mean catch rates of Yellowfin tuna and efficiency factors for the different classes

Year		Mean catch	Efficiency Factors				
	11-ton Drift net	3-ton Drift net	3-ton Troll	3-ton Drift net	3-ton Troll		
1965 1966 1967 1968 1969 1970	98,4 102,8 144,6 140,9	41,8 56,8 51,8 52,1	16,7 18,6 16,7 16,4 24,5 25,3		0,17 0,16 0,17 0,18		

Mixed Fishery

1

There is a mixed fishery for four varieties of the bloodfish group in the waters around Ceylon, and the degree of mixing in relation to size groups had been discussed elsewhere by the author (1968, 1970).

Analysis of percentage composition of the catches by the two main classes of fishing boats, gears and by area are given in Table 3.

Percentage composition of bloodfish catches according to classes

ويروني منظلية بالتربية بالتربية	7	Zellowfi	in	S	kipjac	k	Mac	kerel 7	luna	Frigate Mackerel			
		11 Ton Drift net	3 Ton Drift net	3 Ton Drift	11 Ton Drift net		3 Ton Troll	•		3 Ton Troll	11 Ton Drift net	3 Ton Drift net	
N. N. West N. West West S. West South East N. East		22.6	$36 \cdot 8$ $19 \cdot 5$ $32 \cdot 4$ $23 \cdot 0$ $22 \cdot 2$ $30 \cdot 1$	$ \begin{array}{r}\\ 28 \cdot 1\\ 7 \cdot 0\\ 18 \cdot 9\\ 4 \cdot 6\\ 15' 4 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 47 \cdot 2 \\ 31 \cdot 4 \\ 65 \cdot 9 \\ 61 \cdot 2 \\ 54 \cdot 1 \\ 51 \cdot 0 \\ 34' 0 \end{array}$	$62 \cdot 1 \\ 62 \cdot 7 \\ 34 \cdot 8 \\ 51 \cdot 3 \\ 61 \cdot 9 \\ 40'5$	$ \begin{array}{c} 12 \cdot 7 \\ 21 \cdot 8 \\ 19 \cdot 3 \\ 55 \cdot 2 \\ 47 \cdot 6 \end{array} $	_ .	$ \begin{array}{r} 0.8 \\ 15.4 \\ 19.1 \\ 4.8 \\ 11.2 \\ 17.0 \\ 17.0 \\ $	$ \begin{array}{c} \\ 47 \cdot 9 \\ 44 \cdot 6 \\ 16 \cdot 5 \\ 18 \cdot 2 \\ 21 \cdot 8 \end{array} $	$0\\1\cdot1\\2\cdot1\\11\cdot7\\17\cdot8\\3\cdot1\\19\cdot8$	$ \begin{array}{r} \\ 0 \cdot 2\\ 2 \cdot 2\\ 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 2\\ 1 \cdot 6\\ 2 0 \cdot 7\\ 4 \cdot 6\\ 1 2 \cdot 4 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 11 \cdot 1 \\ 26 \cdot 4 \\ 45 \cdot 1 \\ 24 \cdot 8 \\ 15 \cdot 2 \end{array} $

In the areas of the inshore waters the difference in the percentage contribution by Yellowfin to bloodfish production are the same as given earlier by the Author (1967) (Table 3). In the areas of the offshore waters the pattern roughly fits that of the inshore with few notcoverthy features. In the North West the percentage of Yellowfin in the bloodfish catches is very high (64%) and in fact it is only in this area, Yellowfin is ahead of Skipjack in production and this value is smallest in the offshore waters of the "South West".

The proportion of the different bloodfish varieties in the catches varies not only with the distance from the shore but also according to the depth or swimming layers. Table 4 shows the percentage composition by different gears which are effective at different swimming layers.

SIVASUBRAMANIAM

TABLE 7


Percentage composition of bloodfish catches according to gears effective in different swimming layers

Gear or Effective layers	Swimming	Bigeye Tuna	Yellowfin Tuna	Skip-Jack	M. Tuna	F. Mackeral
Troll		0	12.6	27.3	31.2	28.9
Surface Pole & Line	• •	0	2.5	87.6	4 ·4	5.4
11 T Drift net	• •	0	22.8	$54\cdot 2$	7.0	15.7
Subsurface 3½ T Drift net	• •		28.2	57.7	7.7	6·3
Deep Swimming Longline		28.1	71.2	0.7	0	0

Size Composition

Yellowfin tuna in commercial catches range from 20-145 cm. As in the case of Mackerel tuna (Sivasubramanium 1970) there are difference by area. The heavy entry of young Yellowfin of age group 0 is in the areas "South west" and "East" and that in the "South West" is the highest forming nearly 35% of the total catch from the area. Immature Yellowfin of age group I contribute 60 to 95% of the production depending on the areas (Fig. 2). The age groups II, III, IV and V are

		·····			·				.				····			÷		····	, -,	,	┍╌╌┈┦	<u></u>		-1
Į	_	EAST N EAST					SOUTH	}					S.WEST				WEST					N.WEST	{	
25	gp o	gpl	gp II	Au F		gpo	gp i	çpti	ac	IV	Į	<u>çp o</u>	gpt	gpli	111	900	90-l	gpill	tu	۱۷	v	gpoj gp 1	g pit	i
20	-			1		.			1.1		ļ			Í) F								



FORK LENGTH (cm)

Fig. 2-Length frequency distribution by areas and by gear, for 1969/70

relatively less partly because effort through tuna longline is relatively very small. Eventhough group I dominates in areas, there are difference in the modal length groups. In the inshore waters, the modal length group shifts from 50–55 cm in the South West to 55-60 cm. in the South and East and 65-70 cm in the West. In the offshore areas the modal lengths are the same in all areas except in the "N-West" where it is at 70-75 cm.

YELLOWFIN TUNA AROUND CEYLON

It is conjectured that young Yellowfin of age group 0 move into the waters around Ceylon, appearing mainly in the surface fishery. The immature age group I may also appear in the surface catches but it seem to be concentrated in the subsurface layer and continue to support the drift net fishery until the end of age group II when it shifts to the deep swimming layer (50-150 m.) and become available only to the longline fishery (Fig 3.). The length frequency distribution of the Yellwfin in the surface and subsurface waters over the last seven years (Fig. 4) indicate a shift in the modal length group between 65-70 acm and 70-75 cm during the period 1964 to 1967 and a slightly lower modal lengths 55-60 cm and 60-65 cm ranges in the years following it. That of the longline catches range between 120-130 cm. though no clear cut changes were noticed over the years. Considering the fact that 6" stretched mesh is the maximum perimeter of the Drift nets used on blood-fish in Ceylon waters, the extension of the net twines and the contraction of the fish body during enmeshing and that the circumferance just behind the operculum would be the minimum girth for efficient enmeshing, it is estimated that the efficiency of the drift nets in use would decline for the Yellowfin tunas above 60 cm

. ,

118





Fig. 4-Longth frequncy distribution of yellowfin catches of the west coast, for the four quarters of 1970.

YELLOWFIN TUNA AROUND CEYLON

It is conjectured that young Yellowfin of age group 0 move into the waters around Ceylon, appearing mainly in the surface fishery. The immature age group I may also appear in the surface catches but it seem to be concentrated in the subsurface layer and continue to support the drift net fishery until the end of age group II when it shifts to the deep swimming layer (50–150 m.) and become available only to the longline fishery (Fig 3.) The length frequency distribution of the Yellwfin in the surface and subsurface waters over the last seven years (Fig. 4) indicate a shift in the modal length group between 65–70 acm and 70–75 cm during the period 1964 to 1967 and a slightly lower modal lengths 55–60 cm and 60–65 cm ranges in the years following it. That of the longline catches range between 120–130 cm. though no clear cut changes were noticed over the years. Considering the fact that 6" stretched mesh is the maximum perimeter of the Drift nets used on blood-fish in Ceylon waters, the extension of the net twines and the contraction of the fish body during enmeshing and that the circumferance just behind the operculum would be the minimum girth for efficient enmeshing, it is estimated that the efficiency of the drift nets in use would decline for the Yellowfin tunas above 60 cm

118

, ¹/ -







Fig. 4-Longth frequncy distribution of yellowfin catches of the west coast, for the four quarters of 1970.

SIVASUBRAMANIAM

2



119

Fig. 4.—Annual variations in the length frequency distribution of yellowfin around Ceylon.

in fork length. Further, in almost all cases the set of drift nets for bloodfish group is made up of a range of mesh size (4''-6'') and it is also observed that the average mesh size in a set was close to 5'' at the beginning (1967/68) and close to 6'' at present (1969/70). Therefore the large scale adoption of drift be given due consideration in determining the causes of the yearly shift within the group I length mode.

The trolling line is less selective as far as the Yellowfin at or near the surface are concerned. Whereas the Drift nets are highly selective but the mesh size of the nets used on the mixed resources of bloodfish have shown to be selective of relatively larger sizes of the smaller members like F. Mackeral (1968) and Mackeral Tuna (1970) and relatively smaller sizes of the larger fish like the Yellowfin compared to those of the trolling line catches.


In practically all cases it will be observed that the Yellowfin is enmeshed by the pre-opercular part of the body, quite often by the snout. It is expected that a considerable quantity of enmeshed Yellowfin would drop off the net unless entangled.

It is therefore, suggested that an increase in mesh size beyond the present 6" level would improve the efficiency of this gear for Yellowfin tuna but not for the smaller members of the bloodfish group.

Density of Distribution

Considering the average year's (1964–1970) fishing condition on the basis of the two classes of vessels using gear exploiting the surface and subsurface Yellowfin in the different areas of the inshore and offshore ranges, a density of distribution pattern is projected (Fig. 1). It is conjectured that concentration of these near surface Yellowfin occurs at temperature fronts around the island and very probably, the 28° C isothermal line plays a vital role in determining the boundary of such Yellowfin distribution is higher in the offshore range than in the inshore (Fig. 1). However, due to various oceano shifted beyond the present fishery range or into some area of the inshore waters, resulting in the seasonal changes in their availability in the different areas of the inshore and adjacent offshore ranges.

It is clearly evident from the densities of distribution that areas on the Western side of Ceylon (Arabian Sea) are richer in Yellowfin tuna than the corresponding areas on the Eastern side (Bay of Bengal). On the Western side the "N. West" area has continually (1967–1970) shown the highest density of distribution (annual mean catch rates and average year's catch rates in figures 5). It



 \sim

, __

•

Fig. 5. (A) Seasonal change in the 11-ton drift-net catch rates of yellowfin according to area for the average year (1965-1970).

(B) Annual variation in total catch, effort and catch per unit effort of the 11-Ton elass for yellowfins.


National Digitization Project

National Science Foundation

Institute : National Aquatic Resources Research and Development Agency(NARA) 1. Place of Scanning : Crow Island, Colombo 15 2. Date Scanned : $\frac{2017}{05}/22$

3. Name of Digitizing Company : Sanje (Private) Ltd, No 435/16, Kottawa Rd,
 Hokandara North, Arangala, Hokandara

4. <u>Scanning Officer</u>



Certification of Scanning

I hereby certify that the scanning of this document was carried out under my supervision, according to

the norms and standards of digital scanning accurately, also keeping with the originality of the original

document to be accepted in a court of law.

Certifying Officer

Designation : Chief Librarian

Name : B G Sunethra Kariyawasam Signature : $O_{17}/05/22$

"This document/publication was digitized under National Digitization Project of the National Science Foundation, Sri Lanka"